Southeast Asian Journal of Health Professional 2025;8(3):61–68

Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

Southeast Asian Journal of Health Professional

Journal homepage: https://sajhp.com/

Review Article

Telerehabilitation system for remote therapy management

V.V. Manjula Kumari[1], Mohammed Sheeba Kauser[2]*

[1]Varanaa's Healthcare Research and Training Organization LLP, India.
[2]SV. Pharmacy College, North Rajupalem, Nellore, India.

Abstract

Telerehabilitation systems have emerged as a transformative solution in delivering remote therapy services, particularly in the wake of increased demand for accessible and continuous healthcare. This review explores the evolution, design, and implementation of telerehabilitation platforms, focusing on their application in physical, occupational, and speech therapy. It evaluates the effectiveness of these systems in enhancing patient outcomes, adherence to treatment, and cost-efficiency. The integration of technologies such as artificial intelligence, wearable sensors, and virtual reality is also discussed for their role in personalizing therapy and enabling real-time monitoring. Furthermore, this review highlights current challenges, including data privacy, digital literacy, and system interoperability, while outlining future directions for more inclusive and adaptive remote therapy management solutions.

Keywords: Telerehabilitation, Remote therapy, Digital health, Telemedicine, Rehabilitation technology, Patient monitoring, Virtual therapy

Received: 02-05-2025; **Accepted:** 10-06-2025; **Available Online**: 04-09-2025

1. Introduction

The global demand for accessible and efficient rehabilitation services has led to the rapid evolution of telerehabilitation systems, which enable the delivery of therapy services remotely through digital platforms.[1] Telerehabilitation, a subset of telemedicine, leverages modern communication technologies to provide clinical rehabilitation services to patients outside traditional healthcare settings.[2] This shift has been particularly accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which underscored the necessity of remote healthcare delivery and highlighted existing gaps in access to in-person therapy.[3]

Telerehabilitation systems integrate a wide range of technologies—including video conferencing, wearable sensors, mobile applications, virtual reality (VR), and artificial intelligence (AI)—to support diagnosis, monitoring, and personalized therapy.[4] These systems are increasingly applied across various disciplines, such as physical therapy, occupational therapy, and speech-language pathology, offering significant benefits in terms of continuity of care, patient adherence, and health outcomes.[5]

Despite their potential, telerehabilitation systems face challenges related to technology access, data security, user engagement, and clinical validation.[6] This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of current telerehabilitation systems, focusing on their components, applications, technological enablers, and the barriers that hinder widespread adoption.[7]

2. Evolution of Telerehabilitation

The concept of telerehabilitation has evolved significantly over the past few decades, shaped by advances in telecommunications, computing technologies, and the growing need for accessible healthcare.[8] Initially, telerehabilitation was limited to basic telephone consultations and video conferencing, primarily used to extend care to patients in rural or underserved areas.[9] However, with the rapid development of internet connectivity and digital tools, the scope and complexity of telerehabilitation have expanded substantially.[10]

In the early 2000s**,** pilot studies demonstrated the feasibility of delivering physical and cognitive therapy via

*Corresponding author: Mohammed Sheeba Kauser
Email: sheebaishaq.doc@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.sajhp.v.8.i.3.2

video communication tools.[11] These early systems were often rudimentary, relying on manual observation by therapists and limited patient feedback mechanisms. As broadband internet and mobile technology improved, platforms became more interactive, allowing therapists to conduct real-time assessments and guide exercises with greater precision.[12]

The 2010s saw the integration of wearable devices**,** motion capture technologies, and mobile health (mHealth) apps, enabling continuous monitoring of patient movements, biometrics, and therapy adherence.[13] Cloud computing and electronic health records (EHRs) facilitated better data management and clinician collaboration, setting the stage for scalable telerehabilitation models.[14]

A major turning point came during the COVID-19 pandemic (2020 onward)**,** which accelerated the adoption of telehealth services across the globe.[15] Regulatory changes, increased reimbursement options, and heightened awareness of remote care possibilities pushed telerehabilitation from a supplementary tool to a central component of therapy delivery in many clinical settings.

Today, telerehabilitation systems incorporate artificial intelligence**,** virtual reality**,** and machine learning to personalize therapy, predict outcomes, and enhance patient engagement. The shift from one-size-fits-all video calls to intelligent, adaptive systems marks a new era in rehabilitation—one that emphasizes accessibility**,** efficiency**,** and data-driven care.[16]

3. Core Components of a Telerehabilitation System

A telerehabilitation system is an integrated platform designed to support the remote delivery of rehabilitation services.[17] It combines various technological, clinical, and user-interface elements to ensure effective therapy management outside traditional clinical environments.[18] The key components of such a system are outlined below:

3.1. Communication Infrastructure

At the heart of any telerehabilitation system is a secure and reliable communication channel**.** This includes:

1. **Video conferencing tools** for real-time interaction between patients and therapists.
2. **Messaging platforms** for asynchronous communication and follow-up.
3. **Cloud-based data storage** for secure record-keeping and access to patient history.

3.2. Patient-side devices and interfaces

Patients interact with the system through user-friendly interfaces**,** which may include:

1. **Mobile apps or web portals** for scheduling, reporting, and exercise guidance.
2. **Tablets or smartphones** equipped with cameras and sensors for capturing movement and feedback.
3. **Assistive technologies** for patients with cognitive or motor impairments.

*3.3. Monitoring and **assessment tools***

Accurate remote monitoring is essential for tracking progress and ensuring safety. Tools may include:

1. **Wearable sensors** (e.g., accelerometers, gyroscopes) to monitor physical activity and posture.
2. **Motion tracking systems** using depth cameras or optical sensors for gait analysis and range-of-motion assessment.
3. **Biometric devices** to measure heart rate, oxygen saturation, or muscle activation (EMG).

3.4. Therapeutic content and feedback systems

The core of therapy delivery involves:

1. **Customized exercise programs**, often supported with video demonstrations.
2. **Real-time feedback mechanisms** (e.g., visual cues, audio prompts) to correct movements.
3. **Gamified interfaces** or **virtual reality (VR)** environments to increase engagement and adherence.

*3.5. Clinical **dashboard and data analytics***

For healthcare providers, the system offers:

1. A **clinician dashboard** for reviewing patient data, progress, and compliance.
2. **Data visualization tools** for trend analysis and treatment optimization.
3. **AI-driven analytics** for risk prediction, decision support, and personalized therapy adjustments.

3.6. Security and compliance framework

Data privacy and regulatory compliance are critical:

1. **End-to-end encryption** for patient data transmission.
2. **Authentication protocols** to ensure secure access.
3. **Compliance with healthcare standards** such as HIPAA, GDPR, or local equivalents.

4. Technological Enablers

The effectiveness and scalability of telerehabilitation systems are made possible by a suite of advanced technologies.[19] These technological enablers enhance the quality of remote care, enable real-time monitoring and feedback, and personalize the rehabilitation experience for patients.[20] Below are the key technologies driving innovation in this field:

4.1. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML)

AI and ML play a pivotal role in making telerehabilitation intelligent and adaptive:

1. **Personalized Therapy**: Algorithms analyze patient data to tailor exercises and progression plans.
2. **Automated Assessment**: AI models can evaluate movement quality, detect anomalies, and score patient performance.
3. **Predictive Analytics**: ML helps forecast recovery trajectories, adherence risks, and therapy outcomes.

4.2. Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR)

Immersive technologies like VR and AR increase engagement and realism in therapy:

1. **Simulated Environments**: Patients perform exercises in gamified or lifelike settings that mimic real-world tasks.
2. **Enhanced Feedback**: Real-time visual and auditory cues improve motor learning and motivation.
3. **Remote Supervision**: Therapists can observe patient movements within virtual spaces and provide live corrections.

4.3. Wearable sensors and internet of things (IoT)

Wearable and connected devices provide continuous monitoring and data capture:

1. **Motion Sensors**: Track range of motion, balance, and gait using accelerometers and gyroscopes.
2. **Biometric Sensors**: Monitor vital signs like heart rate, respiratory rate, and muscle activity (e.g., EMG sensors).
3. **IoT Integration**: Devices communicate with cloud systems, enabling data aggregation and remote access.

4.4. Mobile and Cloud Computing

Mobile and cloud technologies ensure system accessibility, scalability, and data availability:

1. **Mobile health (mHealth) Apps**: Allow patients to access therapy modules, track progress, and communicate with clinicians.
2. **Cloud storage and processing**: Supports real-time data sharing, analytics, and remote collaboration.
3. **Cross-platform compatibility**: Ensures seamless user experiences across smartphones, tablets, and computers.

*4.5. Computer **vision and motion tracking***

Computer vision allows precise, non-contact assessment of movement and posture:

1. **2D/3D Motion Capture**: Cameras capture body movements for automated analysis of exercise performance.
2. **Posture Recognition**: Detects compensatory movements or improper form during therapy.
3. **Markerless Tracking**: Reduces setup time and increases convenience for home use.

These technologies collectively enable telerehabilitation systems to be data-driven, interactive, and scalable**,** transforming how rehabilitation is delivered and experienced. As these tools continue to evolve, they promise to make remote therapy more accurate, engaging, and personalized than ever before.

5. Clinical Applications

Telerehabilitation has expanded across a broad range of clinical domains, offering significant advantages in terms of accessibility, continuity of care, and cost-effectiveness.[21] Its application spans physical, occupational, speech, and cognitive rehabilitation, with increasing evidence supporting its effectiveness in diverse patient populations.

5.1. Physical therapy

Telerehabilitation is widely used in managing musculoskeletal, neurological, and cardiopulmonary conditions:

1. **Postoperative rehabilitation**: Following orthopedic surgeries (e.g., knee or hip replacement), remote exercise programs with video guidance and sensor feedback help restore mobility and function.
2. **Stroke recovery**: Remote physiotherapy assists with motor retraining, gait correction, and balance exercises in stroke survivors.
3. **Chronic pain management**: Programs combining exercise, education, and behavioral strategies are used for conditions like lower back pain and osteoarthritis.

5.2. Occupational therapy

Occupational telerehabilitation focuses on helping patients regain daily functional independence:

1. **Activities of daily living (ADLs)**: Virtual coaching for dressing, grooming, cooking, and household tasks.
2. **Home and workplace modifications**: Remote assessments to recommend ergonomic adjustments or assistive devices.
3. **Pediatric and geriatric applications**: Support for children with developmental disorders and older adults recovering from injury or illness.

5.3. Speech and language therapy

Speech-language pathologists (SLPs) use telerehabilitation for assessment and treatment of:

1. **Aphasia and dysarthria**: Post-stroke or neurodegenerative communication disorders.
2. **Pediatric Speech Delays**: Remote therapy for articulation, language comprehension, and fluency issues.
3. **Voice disorders and swallowing therapy**: Interactive exercises and real-time vocal feedback systems.

5.4. Cognitive and neurological rehabilitation

Telerehabilitation is effective in managing cognitive deficits and neurological disorders:

1. **Traumatic brain injury (TBI)** and **mild cognitive impairment (MCI)**: Cognitive training exercises and memory-enhancing tasks delivered remotely.
2. **Neurodevelopmental disorders**: Tools to support attention, executive function, and learning in conditions such as ADHD and autism.
3. **Parkinson's disease and multiple sclerosis**: Virtual programs to improve coordination, balance, and daily function.

5.5. Cardiopulmonary rehabilitation

Remote rehabilitation is increasingly used for patients with chronic heart and lung diseases:

1. **Exercise training**: Monitored aerobic and strength training exercises with real-time vital sign tracking.
2. **Education and Self-Management**: Programs to improve lifestyle, medication adherence, and symptom recognition.

5.6. Mental health and psychosocial support

Many telerehabilitation systems integrate behavioral therapy and psychological support to enhance recovery:

1. **Motivational Interviewing and CBT**: Delivered via video or interactive platforms.
2. **Peer Support Groups**: Virtual sessions to reduce isolation and improve emotional well-being.

6. Patient Engagement and Outcomes

Patient engagement is a critical factor in the success of telerehabilitation. Effective systems must not only deliver therapy remotely but also motivate patients to actively participate and adhere to prescribed programs.[22] Numerous studies have demonstrated that well-designed telerehabilitation platforms can lead to comparable or even superior outcomes compared to traditional in-person therapy, provided that patient engagement is adequately supported.

6.1. Enhancing engagement through technology

Modern telerehabilitation platforms incorporate features specifically designed to boost user involvement:

1. **Gamification**: Use of game-like elements (scores, levels, rewards) to make exercises more enjoyable and competitive.
2. **Personalized Feedback**: Real-time audio-visual cues help patients correct their movements and understand progress.
3. **Reminders and Notifications**: Automated alerts to promote adherence to therapy schedules.
4. **Interactive Interfaces**: Touchscreens, voice commands, and easy navigation improve usability for diverse populations, including the elderly.

6.2. Psychological and motivational factors

Telerehabilitation systems can positively influence a patient's psychological readiness and motivation:

1. **Self-efficacy**: Regular progress tracking boosts patients' confidence in their ability to recover.
2. **Autonomy**: Patients gain greater control over their rehabilitation, increasing commitment to the process.
3. **Social Support**: Virtual check-ins with therapists and peer support groups reduce feelings of isolation.

6.3. Clinical outcomes

Research indicates that telerehabilitation can produce favorable clinical outcomes across various domains:

1. **Motor function and mobility**: Comparable improvements in physical performance, such as range of motion and gait stability, particularly in post-surgical and stroke patients.
2. **Speech and Language**: Improved fluency, articulation, and communication skills in both children and adults.
3. **Cognitive recovery**: Enhanced attention, memory, and executive function in individuals undergoing neurorehabilitation.
4. **Adherence rates**: Higher consistency in session attendance and exercise completion compared to in-person programs in many studies.

6.4. Limitations affecting engagement

Despite its benefits, several barriers may reduce patient engagement:

1. **Digital literacy**: Older adults or individuals with cognitive impairments may struggle with using technology.
2. **Access to devices and internet**: Socioeconomic factors can limit the ability to fully participate.
3. **Lack of immediate supervision**: Some patients may feel less accountable or may perform exercises incorrectly without direct observation.

6.5. Strategies to improve engagement and outcomes

To maximize impact, telerehabilitation systems should incorporate:

1. **User-centered design**: Involving patients in the design process to ensure the system meets their needs and preferences.
2. **Multimodal content**: Combining video, audio, and text to accommodate different learning styles.
3. **Regular therapist interaction**: Scheduled virtual consultations and real-time support to maintain motivation and ensure proper technique.

7. Challenges and Barriers

While telerehabilitation systems offer significant promise in extending care and improving therapy accessibility, their widespread implementation is hindered by several challenges.[22] These barriers are technological, clinical, socioeconomic, and regulatory in nature, and addressing them is essential for scalable and equitable adoption.[23]

7.1. Technological limitations

1. **Connectivity issues**: Inconsistent internet access, particularly in rural or low-income areas, can interrupt therapy sessions and limit real-time monitoring.[24]
2. **Device compatibility**: Variation in hardware and operating systems can affect system performance and user experience.[25]
3. **System reliability**: Technical failures or software bugs can reduce trust in the platform and disrupt continuity of care.[26]

7.2. User-Related barriers

1. **Digital literacy**: Patients—especially older adults or those with cognitive impairments—may struggle to navigate digital interfaces, reducing engagement and adherence.[27]
2. **Physical and sensory impairments**: Vision, hearing, or motor limitations can make interacting with devices difficult without proper accommodations.[28]
3. **Motivation and self-discipline**: The absence of in-person supervision can lead to reduced accountability and adherence to exercise regimens.

7.3. Clinical and therapeutic limitations

1. **Limited hands-on interaction**: Some aspects of therapy (e.g., manual therapy, fine motor guidance) cannot be replicated virtually.[29]
2. **Inaccurate assessments**: Sensor or camera-based evaluations may lack the precision of in-person assessments, particularly in complex cases.
3. **Standardization issues**: Lack of clinical protocols and standardized treatment pathways for telerehabilitation may lead to inconsistent care quality.

7.4. Data security and privacy

1. **Privacy concerns**: Handling of sensitive health data via cloud platforms raises risks of data breaches and unauthorized access.[22]
2. **Regulatory compliance**: Navigating different regulations (e.g., HIPAA, GDPR) across jurisdictions complicates implementation, especially in cross-border services.[20]

7.5. Financial and reimbursement challenges

1. **Lack of coverage**: Not all healthcare systems or insurers provide reimbursement for telerehabilitation, limiting its financial viability for providers and patients.[30]
2. **Upfront costs**: Investment in equipment, training, and IT infrastructure can be a barrier for smaller clinics or low-resource settings.

7.6. Interoperability and integration

1. **Fragmented systems**: Incompatibility between telerehabilitation platforms and existing electronic health record (EHR) systems can hinder data sharing and coordination.
2. **Lack of standard APIs**: Difficulty in integrating third-party tools, such as wearables or remote monitoring devices, limits system scalability.

7.7. Legal and ethical considerations

1. **Licensing and cross-jurisdictional practice**: Therapists may face legal restrictions when offering services across state or national borders.
2. **Informed consent and liability**: Ensuring patients understand risks and limitations of remote therapy can be challenging, especially for vulnerable populations.

Addressing these challenges requires multidisciplinary collaboration among healthcare providers, technologists, policymakers, and patients. Solutions must focus on equity, usability, integration, and trust to ensure telerehabilitation can fulfill its potential as a mainstream mode of therapy delivery.

8. Recent Advances and Innovations

In recent years, rapid technological progress and growing clinical demand have driven remarkable innovation in telerehabilitation systems. These advances are making remote therapy more intelligent, engaging, accessible, and personalized, fundamentally transforming how rehabilitation is delivered.[25]

8.1. Artificial intelligence and predictive analytics

AI is increasingly integrated into telerehabilitation platforms to automate tasks and personalize care:

1. **Automated Movement Assessment**: AI-powered computer vision can evaluate range of motion, balance, and posture without human intervention.[22]
2. **Personalized Treatment Plans**: Machine learning models adapt therapy regimens based on patient progress and engagement patterns.[23]
3. **Outcome Prediction**: Predictive analytics help clinicians anticipate recovery timelines and identify non-responders early.[24]

8.2. Immersive technologies: VR, AR, and XR

Immersive technologies are reshaping patient engagement and therapy effectiveness:

1. **Virtual reality (VR)**: Fully immersive therapy environments simulate real-life activities (e.g., crossing streets, reaching tasks) to improve motor and cognitive skills.[25]
2. **Augmented reality (AR)**: Overlays therapeutic instructions or feedback onto real-world views, allowing for interactive, real-time corrections.[26]
3. **Extended reality (XR)**: Combines AR and VR to offer multi-sensory therapy, especially valuable for neurological rehabilitation.[27]

8.3. Robotics and haptic feedback devices

New interfaces provide physical assistance and sensory feedback to enhance therapy:

1. **Wearable exoskeletons**: Used for gait training and upper limb rehabilitation in spinal cord injury and stroke patients.[28]
2. **Haptic gloves and devices**: Provide tactile feedback to simulate touch and grip, critical for fine motor skill recovery.[29]

8.4. Voice-activated and conversational interfaces

Voice technology is being integrated to support accessibility and improve patient experience:

1. **Virtual assistants**: Voice-controlled systems help guide exercises, record progress, and answer patient queries.[30]
2. **Conversational AI**: Chatbots offer real-time coaching and emotional support, especially in speech therapy and behavioral health.

8.5. 5G and edge computing

Enhanced connectivity and real-time processing are enabling smoother and more responsive systems:

1. **5G Networks**: Support high-bandwidth video streaming and ultra-low latency communication, critical for high-resolution monitoring and VR/AR applications.
2. **Edge Computing**: Enables faster processing of sensor data locally on the device, reducing reliance on cloud processing and preserving privacy.

8.6. Interoperable and open platforms

Efforts are underway to create more flexible and integrated systems:

1. **API-Driven platforms**: Allow seamless integration with EHRs, wearable devices, and third-party applications.
2. **Open-Source frameworks**: Encourage community-driven innovation, enabling customization and faster development.

8.7. Gamification and behavioral design

Therapy adherence is being improved through behavioral science-driven design:

1. **Reward systems**: Incentivize consistent participation and goal achievement.
2. **Progress visualization**: Dashboards that track and display user milestones improve motivation and engagement.

9. Future Perspectives

The future of telerehabilitation is poised for transformative growth driven by ongoing technological advances, evolving clinical practices, and a growing emphasis on patient-centered care. As healthcare systems worldwide adapt to new challenges and opportunities, telerehabilitation is expected to become an integral component of comprehensive rehabilitation services.

9.1. Integration of artificial intelligence for personalized care

Artificial intelligence will play an increasingly central role in tailoring therapy protocols to individual patients. Advances in predictive modeling and adaptive learning algorithms will enable dynamic modification of treatment plans based on real-time patient performance, lifestyle factors, and comorbidities, thereby enhancing efficacy and efficiency.

9.2. Expansion of multimodal and immersive technologies

The adoption of virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and mixed reality (MR) will expand, offering more engaging and realistic therapeutic environments. These technologies will facilitate complex motor and cognitive rehabilitation tasks that are difficult to replicate in traditional settings, promoting better transfer of skills to daily life.

9.3. Enhanced remote monitoring through advanced wearables

Future wearable devices will incorporate more sophisticated sensors capable of capturing comprehensive physiological, biomechanical, and neurological data. Integration with IoT ecosystems will allow continuous, unobtrusive monitoring, supporting proactive intervention and improved long-term outcome tracking.

9.4. Addressing equity and accessibility

Efforts will intensify to bridge the digital divide by developing low-cost, user-friendly technologies and expanding broadband infrastructure. Tailored solutions for underserved populations—including elderly patients, rural communities, and those with disabilities—will be prioritized to ensure equitable access to telerehabilitation services.

9.5. Interoperability and standardization

The establishment of universal standards and open platforms will enhance interoperability between telerehabilitation systems and electronic health records (EHRs), enabling seamless data exchange and integrated care pathways. Regulatory frameworks are expected to evolve to support cross-jurisdictional practice and ensure data privacy without compromising accessibility.

9.6. Integration with holistic healthcare models

Telerehabilitation will increasingly be embedded within broader, multidisciplinary care models that include mental health, chronic disease management, and preventive care. This integrated approach will promote holistic patient outcomes and facilitate continuity of care across various health domains.

9.7. Ethical and legal frameworks

As telerehabilitation becomes more widespread, robust ethical guidelines and legal regulations will be essential to address concerns related to patient consent, data security, professional licensure, and liability, fostering trust among patients and providers.

10. Conclusion

Telerehabilitation represents a transformative approach to delivering rehabilitation services, breaking down geographical and logistical barriers to therapy access. Driven by advances in digital communication, artificial intelligence, wearable technologies, and immersive platforms, telerehabilitation systems have evolved into comprehensive tools that support personalized, continuous, and effective remote care.

Despite challenges related to technology access, user engagement, regulatory frameworks, and data security, the clinical evidence highlights telerehabilitation's potential to achieve comparable outcomes to traditional in-person therapy across a variety of conditions. Ongoing innovations and increasing integration into mainstream healthcare promise to further enhance the quality and reach of rehabilitation services.

To fully harness the benefits of telerehabilitation, stakeholders must address current limitations through collaborative efforts focusing on equitable access, standardization, and patient-centered design. As these efforts progress, telerehabilitation is set to play an essential role in the future of rehabilitation medicine, improving patient outcomes and healthcare system efficiency worldwide.

11. Source of Funding

None.

12. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Dávalos ME, French MT, Burdick AE, Simmons SC. Economic evaluation of telemedicine: Review of the literature and research guidelines for benefit–cost analysis. *Telemed. e-Health* 2009:15(10):933–48.
2. Botsis T, Demiris G, Pedersen S, Hartvigsen G. Home telecare technologies for the elderly. *J Telemed. Telecare* 2008;14(7):333–7.
3. Tousignant M, Moffet H, Boissy P, Corriveau H, Cabana F, Marquis, F. A randomized controlled trial of home telerehabilitation for post-knee arthroplasty. *J Telemed.* 2011:17(4):195–8.
4. Cason J. A pilot telerehabilitation program: Delivering early intervention services to rural families. *Int J Telerehabil*. 2009:1(1):29–38.
5. Weiss PL. Sveistrup H, Rand D, Kizony R. Video capture virtual reality: A decade of rehabilitation assessment and intervention. *Phys Ther. Rev.* 2009;4(5):307–21.
6. Frederix I, Hansen D, Coninx K, Vandervoort P, Vandijck D, Hens N. et al. Effect of comprehensive cardiac telerehabilitation on one-year cardiovascular rehospitalization rate, medical costs and quality of life: A cost-effectiveness analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2016:23(7):674-82.
7. Rizzo AS, Kim GJ. A SWOT analysis of the field of virtual reality rehabilitation and therapy. Presence Teleoper. *Virtual Environ*. 2005;14(2):119–46.
8. Lewis GN, Woods C, Rosie JA, Mcpherson K.M. Virtual reality games for rehabilitation of people with stroke: Perspectives from the users. *Disabil. Rehabil Assist Technol.* 2011:6(5):453–63.
9. Cikajlo I, Rudolf M, Goljar N, Burger H.Matjačić, Z. Telerehabilitation using virtual reality task can improve balance in patients with stroke. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2012;34(1):13–8.
10. Bidargaddi N, Sarela A. Activity and heart rate-based measures for outpatient cardiac rehabilitation. *Methods Inf Med.* 2008:47(3):208–16.
11. Fan YJ, Yin YH, Xu LD, Zeng Y, Wu F. IoT-Based Smart Rehabilitation System. IEEE Trans. *Ind Inform.* 2014;10(2):1568–77.
12. Hamida S.T.B., Hamida EB, Ahmed B. A new mHealth communication framework for use in wearable WBANs and mobile technologies. *Sensors.* 2015;15(2):3379–408.
13. Rolim CO, Koch FL, Westphall CB, Werner J, Fracalossi A. A Cloud Computing Solution for Patient's Data Collection in Health Care Institutions. In Proceedings of the 2010 Second International Conference on eHealth, Telemedicine, and Social Medicine, Sint Maarten, The Netherlands. 2010;95–9.
14. Benharref A, Serhani M.A. Novel Cloud and SOA-Based Framework for E-Health Monitoring Using Wireless Biosensors. IEEE J. *Biomed Health Inform*. 2014;18(1):46–55.
15. Koh G, Ho W, Koh YQ, Lim D, Tay A, Yen S.C. et al. A Time Motion Analysis of Outpatient, Home and Telerehabilitation Sessions From Patient and Therapist Perspectives. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2017:98(10): e28.

16. Llorens R, Gil-Gomez JA, Mesa-Gresa P, Alcaniz M, Colomer C Noe, E. BioTrak: A comprehensive overview. In Proceedings of the 2011 International Conference on Virtual Rehabilitation (ICVR), Zurich, Switzerland. 2011;27–29;1–6.
17. Spina, G.; Huang, G.; Vaes, A.; Spruit, M.; Amft, O. COPDTrainer: A smartphone-based motion rehabilitation training system with real-time acoustic feedback. In Proceedings of the 2013 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing, Zurich, Switzerland,2013; 9–12.
18. Giorgino, T.; Tormene, P.; Maggioni, G.; Pistarini, C.; Quaglini, S. Wireless Support to Poststroke Rehabilitation: MyHeart's Neurological Rehabilitation Concept. *IEEE Trans Inf Tech Biomed*. 2009;13:1012–8.
19. Holden MK, Dyar TA, Dayan-Cimadoro L, Telerehabilitation using a virtual environment improves upper extremity function in patients with stroke. IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. *Rehabil Eng*. 2007:15:36–42.
20. Martin-Moreno J, Ruiz-Fernandez D, Soriano-Paya A, Berenguer-Miralles V.J. Monitoring 3D movements for the rehabilitation of joints in physiotherapy. In Proceedings of the 2008 30th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, Vancouver, BC, Canada. 2008;4836–9.
21. Lockery D, Peters JF, Ramanna S, Shay BL, Szturm T. Store-and-Feedforward Adaptive Gaming System for Hand-Finger Motion Tracking in Telerehabilitation. *IEEE Trans Inf Tech Biomed.* 2011;15:467–73.
22. Iosa M, Morone G, Fusco A, Castagnoli M, Fusco FR, Pratesi L. et al. Leap motion controlled video game-based therapy for rehabilitation of elderly patients with subacute stroke: A feasibility pilot study. Top. *Stroke Rehabil.* 2015,;22:306–16.
23. Blumrosen G, Miron Y, Intrator N, Plotnik M. A Real-Time Kinect Signature-Based Patient Home Monitoring System. *Sensors*. 2016;16, 1965.
24. Chang YJ, Chen SF, Huang JD. A Kinect-based system for physical rehabilitation: A pilot study for young adults with motor disabilities. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2011;32:2566–70.
25. Galna B, Jackson D, Schofield G, McNaney R, Webster M, Barry G. Retraining function in people with Parkinson's disease using the Microsoft kinect: Game design and pilot testing. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2014;11:60.
26. Gotsis M, Lympouridis V, Turpin D, Tasse A, Poulos IC, Tucker D. et al. Mixed reality game prototypes for upper body exercise and rehabilitation. In Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE Virtual Reality Workshops (VRW), Costa Mesa, CA, USA, 4–8 2012;181–2.
27. Pastor I, Hayes HA, Bamberg SJ. A feasibility study of an upper limb rehabilitation system using kinect and computer games. In Proceedings of the 2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, San Diego, CA, USA, 28 August–1 September 2012;1286–9.
28. Chang YJ, Han WY, Tsai YC. A Kinect-based upper limb rehabilitation system to assist people with cerebral palsy. *Res Dev Disabil*. 2013;34:3654–9.
29. Gabel, M.; Gilad-Bachrach, R.; Renshaw, E.; Schuster, A. Full body gait analysis with Kinect. In Proceedings of the 2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, San Diego, CA, USA.2012; pp. 1964–7.
30. Venugopalan J, Cheng C, Stokes T.H. Wang M.D. Kinect-based rehabilitation system for patients with traumatic brain injury. In Proceedings of the 2013 35th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), Osaka, Japan. 2013;4625–8.

Cite this article: Manjula Kumari VV, Kauser MS. Telerehabilitation system for remote therapy management. *Southeast Asian J Health Prof.* 2025;8(3):61-68.